

Human Rights Law Journal · HRLJ

HRLJ

30 June 2017

ISSN 0174/4704

Vol. 37 No. 1-6

Pages 1-236

1. ARTICLES

Kate Fox Principi, Geneva

Implementation of Decisions under UN Treaty Body Complaint Procedures – How Do States Comply? / A Categorized Study Based on 268 Cases of “Satisfactory” Implementation under the Follow-Up Procedure, mainly regarding the UN Human Rights Committee

1

Christoph Grabenwarter, Vienna

Reception of Migrants: Material and Procedural Guarantees for Settled Migrants – Some Thoughts on the Case-Law of the European Court of Human Rights

31

2. DECISIONS and REPORTS

UN Human Rights Committee (UN-HRCee), Geneva

– 31.III.16 – Irish abortion law and practice / Having to choose between continuing to carry a dying fetus and terminating a pregnancy by travelling to another country / Violation of Articles 7, 17 and 26 of the Covenant (Cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment; Right to privacy; Discrimination) / *Mellet v. Ireland*

36

– 14.VII.16 – State’s obligation to address hateful speech and incitement to violence / Meaning of Article 20(2) of the Covenant / Acquittal of a politician (Geert Wilders) did not violate the authors’ rights / *Rabbae et al. v. NL*

48

– 27.X.16 – Unlawful media control / Practice of allocating broadcasting frequencies without a tender to entities which appear to have ties with the State party’s government / Authors’ right to freedom of expression violated / *Agazade and Jafarov v. Azerbaijan*

60

UN Economic, Social and Cultural Rights Committee (UN-ESCRee), Geneva

– 20.VI.17 – Right to adequate housing in times of severe economic and financial crisis / Protection against forced eviction includes State’s duty to provide access to public housing irrespective of whether the eviction is initiated by State authorities or by an individual / *Ben Djaza and Bellili v. Spain*

66

European Court of Human Rights (EurCourtHR), Strasbourg

– 27.VI.17 – Evaluation of the best interests of baby Charlie Gard suffering from a genetic disease / Decisions of the UK courts (*inter alia* not to allow, as requested by the parents, experimental treatment in the USA) endorsed in Strasbourg / No violation of parental rights (Article 8 ECHR) / Application inadmissible as being manifestly ill-founded / *Gard et al. v. UK*

74

– 13.XII.16 – Clarification of the Court’s approach hitherto regarding the threshold for the application of Article 3 ECHR (inhuman or degrading treatment) / Pre-conditions for lawful removal of aliens suffering from serious illness / Meaning of “very exceptional cases” in which, unlike in the case of *N. v. UK* [GC] judgment of 2008, removal would violate Article 3 / *Paposhvili v. Belgium* (GC)

85

– 24.I.17 – Placement in social-service care of a 9-month-old child who had been born in Russia following a gestational surrogacy contract entered into with a Russian woman by an Italian couple who had no biological relationship with the child / The applicants allege that the removal from their care of the child violated their right to family life / The Court, referring to the State’s wide margin of appreciation, found no violation of the Convention / *Paradiso and Campanelli v. Italy* (GC)

105

(Contents continued on last page)



N. P. Engel, Publisher

www.hrlj.info

e-mail: N.P.Engel@HRLJ.info